## **REMARKS**

In the Official Action mailed on **3 June 2005**, the Examiner reviewed claims 26-27, 29-30, 32-35, 37-38, 40-43, 45-46, and 48-49. Claims 26-27, 29-30, and 32-33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being non-statutory subject matter. Claims 34-35, 37-38, 40-43, 45-46, and 48-49 were allowed.

## Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 26-27, 29-30, and 32-33 have been canceled without prejudice. Claims 34-35, 37-38, 40-43, 45-46, and 48-49 were previously allowed.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 34 and 42 are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 35, 37-38, and 40-41, which depend on claim 34, and claims 43, 45-46, and 48-49, which depend on claim 49, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance.

## **CONCLUSION**

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Bv

Edward J. Grundler Registration No. 47,615

Date: 27 June 2005

Edward J. Grundler PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 2820 Fifth Street Davis, CA 95616-7759

Tel: (530) 759-1663 FAX: (530) 759-1665